SCIENTIFIC AND PROFESSIONAL FUNDAMENTALS O... 2022-23



# DEGREE CURRICULUM SCIENTIFIC AND PROFESSIONAL FUNDAMENTALS OF HEALTH PSYCHOLOGY

Coordination: MOYA HIGUERAS, JORGE

Academic year 2022-23

## Subject's general information

Subject name	SCIENTIFIC AND PROFESSIONAL FUNDAMENTALS OF HEALTH PSYCHOLOGY							
Code	14550							
Semester	1st Q(SEMESTER) CONTINUED EVALUATION							
Туроlоду	Degree		Course	Character		Modality		
	Double degree: Master in General Health Psychology and Master in Neuropsychology		1	COMPULSORY		Blended learning		
	Master's Deg Health Psych	ree in General ology	1	COMPULSOR		Attendance- based		
Course number of credits (ECTS)	6							
Type of activity, credits, and groups	Activity type	PRAULA			TEORIA			
	Number of 1.8 credits			4.2				
	Number of groups	1			1			
Coordination	MOYA HIGUERAS, JORGE							
Department	PSICOLOGIA							
Important information on data processing	Consult this link for more information.							

### SCIENTIFIC AND PROFESSIONAL FUNDAMENTALS O... 2022-23

Teaching staff	E-mail addresses	Credits taught by teacher	Office and hour of attention
MOYA HIGUERAS, JORGE	jorge.moya@udl.cat	5	
PIFARRE PAREDERO, JOSE FEDERICO	josep.pifarre@udl.cat	1	

#### Evaluation

Evaluation is **continuous.** They comprise different activities distributed throughout the course:

	Evaluation activities	Type of evaluation	description	Points
Professional foundations	A1.	Theoretical evaluation of knowledge integration.	Case study to be answered according with the contents developed through the subject.	20
	A2.	Business project.	Elaborate and expose orally a project of company (25 points the written part and 15 points the oral part).	25
	A3.	Continuous practical evaluation.	Proactive participation and correct execution in the different activities that will be requested during the seminars.	15
	A4.	Continuous theoretical evaluation.	At the end of each seminar there will be a test of 5 test questions on theoretical aspects worked on during the session.	15
Fundamentals of bioethics	B1	Theoretical evaluation of knowledge integration.	Test questions.	10
	B2	Case study.	Work on cases related to bioethics and deontology applied to General Health Psychology.	10

To pass the subject, a grade greater than 5 points out of 10 must be obtained in the theoretical assessment (Evidences A1 and B1). In addition, a grade greater than 50 points must be obtained by adding all the evaluation activities shown in the table. The final grade will be a numerical note between 5 and 10 (with a decimal), corresponding to Passed, Notable or Excellent. In case of not reaching these minimums, the qualitative note will be Suspense. If it has not presented to any activity of evaluation, the note will be No Presented. If a person does not attend class one day that develops a continuous evaluation activity and does not duly justify their absence, they will have a score of 0 in that test.

This subject is especially sensitive to plagiarism. When plagiarism is detected of another colleague or a bibliographic source greater than 5% of the total of an evaluation activity, this activity will have a rating of 0 in

#### SCIENTIFIC AND PROFESSIONAL FUNDAMENTALS O... 2022-23

application of the current evaluation regulations.

Due to the fact that the evaluation is continuous, the possibility of recovering most of the evaluation evidence less than the tests that exceed 30% or that have requisites is not contemplated, in accordance with the evaluation regulations of the UdL. Therefore, only evidence of evaluation A1, A2 and B1 can be recovered. The evaluation is summative, in such a way that you only have to add the score that is extracted in each evidence to obtain the final grade.

For students who request the **alternative evaluation**, this will consist of 3 evaluation tests that must be presented, at the latest, on the day of the theoretical evaluation of the subject. These tests will be: 1) Test and short question exam that will include the 2 cases of bioethics and deontology (45%); 2) The work of company (35%); 3) Assessment of the same practical competences that have developed in the face-to-face classes (20%).