

DEGREE CURRICULUM SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Coordination: SENDÍN VELOSO, MONTSERRAT

Academic year 2020-21

Subject's general information

Subject name	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING						
Code	102018						
Semester	1st Q(SEMESTER) CONTINUED EVALUATION						
Туроlоду	Degree	Course	Charac	ter	Modality		
	Bachelor's Degree in Computer Engineering		3	COMPULSORY		Attendance- based	
	Master's Degree in Informatics EngineeringDouble bachelor's degree: Degree in Computer Engineering and Degree in Business Administration and Management3			COMPLEMENTARY TRAINING		Attendance- based	
			3	COMPULSORY		Attendance- based	
Course number of credits (ECTS)	6						
Type of activity, credits, and groups	Activity type	PRALAB		TEORIA			
	Number of credits	3		3	3		
	Number of groups		2		1		
Coordination	SENDÍN VELOS	O, MONTSERF	RAT				
Department	COMPUTER SCIENCE AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING						
Teaching load distribution between lectures and independent student work	40% Presential (equivalent to 60h) 60% Autonomous work (equivalent to 90h)						
Important information on data processing	Consult <u>this link</u> for more information.						
Language	Preferably Catalan (Spanish if any student shows dificulties with Catalan).						
Distribution of credits	Juan Manuel Gimeno Illa 4.5 Montserrat Sendin Veloso 4.5						

Teaching staff		Credits taught by teacher	Office and hour of attention
SENDÍN VELOSO, MONTSERRAT	montse.sendin@udl.cat	9	

Subject's extra information

Compulsory subject of 3rd year (1st quarter) that belongs to the common studies in the computer science branch.

Matter: Analysis and Design of Applications.

RECOMMENDATIONS: We assume the student knows the concepts about object-oriented programming and data structures teached in Programming II and Data Structures.

Learning objectives

- Knowing the conceptual basis and the different aspects of the discipline, among other the software lifecycle process model
- Apply the Use Case technique
- Specifying in a textual way the functional and non functional needs for a certain software system planned by means of a statement and/or other inputs from the user
- Developing the classes diagram for a certain software system following the Object Oriented Modeling principles
- Be familiar with a UML-based modeling tool
- Understanding the concept of code as a something that evolves over time
- Be able to program basic unit tests
- Understanding the object oriented design fundamental principles
- Recognizing the concept of responsibility as a fundamental one when planning an object oriented design

Competences

Cross-disciplinary competences

• EPS-11: Capacity to understand the needs of the user expressed in a no technical language

Specific competences

- **GII-CRI2:** Capacity to plan, conceive, deploy and direct projects, services and computer systems in all the fields, leading his set up and his continuous improvement and evaluation his economic and social impact
- **GII-CRI12:** Knowledge and application of the characteristics, functionalities and structure of the databases, that allow their suitable use, and the design and the analysis and implementation of applications based in them
- **GII-CRI13:** Knowledge and application of the necessary tools for the storage, processing and access to the Systems of information, including those based in web
- **GII-CRI16:** Knowledge and application of the principles, methodologies and life cycle of the software engineering
- **GII-CRI17:** Capacity to design and evaluate person-computer interfaces that guarantee the accessibility and usability of systems, services and computer applications.

Subject contents

Theme I - Introductory aspects

- 1.1. Initial questions about the Software Engineering
- 1.2. A little of history
- 1.3. Software development process
- 1.4. Software process models
- 1.5. Conclusions

Theme II - Requirements Analisys

- 2.1. Requirements specification
- 2.2. The Use Cases technique
- 2.3. A step more in the specification: the System Sequence Diagram
- 2.4. Conclusions

Theme III - Domain Analisys

- 3.1. Analisys Classes Diagram
- 3.2. A step more in the domain analysis: the Contracts of the operations
- 3.3. Conclusions

Theme IV - Introduction to Design and Unit Testing

- 4.1. The need for code design
- 4.2. The JUnit framework

Tema V - The SOLID principles

- 5.1. Single responsibiliti principle
- 5.2. Open-closed principle
- 5.3. Liskov substitution principle
- 5.4. Interface segregation principle
- 5.5. Dependency inversion principle

Tema VI - Responsibility based design

- 6.1. The concept of responsibility
- 6.2. The GRASP patterns of responsibility assignement

Methodology

Big-size Groups: Masterly Classes (3 credits)

- Theorical part: Supported by snapshots and/or specific notes
- <u>Practical application part</u>: Always working over examples. A **problems collection** is available. In class concrete problems are being solved. The solutions are being delivered along the semester

Medium-size Groups: Laboratory Classes (3 credits)

- Guided classes and personalized monitoring
- UML Modeling tool usage: ArgoUML and/or Visual Paradigm
- Control version tools with GIT and testing framework with JUnit
- Progressive work regarding a certain **practical statement**, which will simulate the software project development as practical application of the subject contents

Autonomous work (non presential):

- Practical work will be completed during no presential hours
- **Highly recommended** to the student: solving the problems from the **collection**, in order to get feedback from the teacher

The **avaluation system** (detailed in el corresponding section) is composed of: 1) writen tests (l2 partial exams); and 2) practices (to develop in group).

Development plan

Week	Theory (GG)	Laboratory (LG)	Autonomous Work			
1	Subject presentation T1: Introductory aspects	T1: Introductory aspects	Study			
2	T1: Introductory aspects	T1: Introductory aspects	Study			
3	T2: Requirements analysis Requirements specification	T2: Requirements analysis Requirements specification	Study and problems solving (Analysis problems collection)			
4	T2: Requirements analysis. The Use Cases technique. Problems	UML Modeling usage Use Cases technique practical application	Study, problems solving (Analysis problems collection) and Analysis practice development			
5	T2: Requirements analysis Use Case Specificaction Problems	Application of the Use Cases technique to the practice drafting T2: Requirements analysis. System Sequence Diagrams <i>Requirements analysis (1rst part)</i> <i>Delivery</i>				
6	T3: Domain analysis Object Oriented Modeling technique	SSD application to the practice drafting	Study, problems solving (Analysis problems collection) and Analysis practice development			

7	T3: Domain analysis Object Oriented Modeling technique Problems	UML Modeling usage Practical application of the Object Oriented Modeling technique	Study, problems solving (Analysis problems collection) and practice defelopment <i>Requirements analysis (2nd part) Delivery</i>				
8	T3: Domain analysis Object Oriented Modeling technique Problems	Construction of the Domain Model for the practice drafting	Study, problems solving (Analysis problems collection) and Domain analysis practice development				
9	First midterm		Development of the Domain analysis practice				
10	T3: Domain analysis Contracts of operations T4: Introduction to Design Test concept	Simple testing problems	Study, problems solving (Testing problems collection) and Domain analysis practice development				
11	T4: JUnit Substitute objects	Testing with substitutions problems	Study and problems solving (Testing problems collection) Domain Analysis and Contracts Delivery				
12	T5: Principis SOLID Intro, OCP & LSP	Testing problems. Advanced aspects of JUnit	Study, problems solving (Testing problems collection) and testing practice development				
13	T5: Principis SOLID SRP, ISP & DIP	Git usage	Study, problems solving (Testing problems collection) and testing practice development				
14	T6: Patrons GRASP Responsability concept	Git usage	Study, problems solving (Testing problems collection) and testing practice development				
15	T6: GRASP Patrons Expert, Creator, Low Coupling	T6: GRASP Patrons High cohesion, Controller	Study and testing practice development				
16	Second midterm		Testing practice development				
17	Second midterm		Testing Delivery				
18	Tutorization						
19	Recovery						

Evaluation

Activt.	Description	Weight	Mínimum Grade	In group	Presential	Mandatory	Recoverable
Part1	First midterm	25%	3,0	No	Yes	Yes	Sí
Part2	Second midterm	25%	3,0	No	Yes	Yes	Sí
Actv1	Requirements Analysis	20%	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Actv2	Domain Model and Contracts	10%	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Actv3	Unitary testing	20%	No	Yes	No	Yes	No

Final grade = 0,25 * Part1 + 0,25 * Part2 + 0,20 * Actv1 + 0,10* Actv2 + 0,20 * Actv3

• Subject is passed if final grade is greater or equal than 5,0 and all midterms are above the minimum

required.

Other considerations and criteria:

- Type of exams: concept fixation and problems solving.
- For all activities: programmed deliveries, unmovable dates.
- When any of the partial exams is below the minimum grade, the student must do the recovey exam of the corresponding midterm/s.
 - If even though, one or both midterms are below the minimum required, or below 5 in weighing, the final grade will be 4,5 at most.
- The recovery exam is a chance to obtain the subject success (current grade < 5), and also to improve the mark (taking into account that the mark obtained in it is the one that prevails).
 - In any case, in the recovery exam must be examined, at least, the midterm exam with the lower grade.

Bibliography

Basic bibliography

- Craig Larman, <u>Applying UML and Patterns: An Introduction to Object-Oriented Analysis and Design and Iterative Development</u>. Prentice-Hall, 2005 (3^ª ed.)
- Boni García: Mastering Software Testing with Junit 5. Packt, 2017

Complementary bibliography

- Gerald Kotonya, Ian Sommerville: <u>Requirements Engineering: Processes and Techniques</u>. Wiley, 1998
- Robert Martin: Agile Software Development: Principles, Patterns, and Practices, Prentice-Hall, 2002
- Lasse Koskela, Effective Unit Testing. A guide for Java developers. Manning, 2013